

1940 DUKE STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/602,596 06/25/2003 Wataru Saito 239400US2S 1453 **EXAMINER** 22850 03/17/2005 7590 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. OWENS, DOUGLAS W

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s) SAITO ET AL.	
Art Unit	
2811	
	SAITO ET AL. Art Unit

Boloro the I ming of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Douglas W. Owens	2811		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The langle in the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in the period for reply expires. 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ee reply must be filed within one of the of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh	ication in set for Continued lods:	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	÷		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of	
<u> </u>	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise	
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		= -		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	-			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>22,26 and 27</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>8,16-20,28</i> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,7,9-15,21 and 23-25</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant argues that Saitoh et al. do not teach a power (A x VB)(EXP 7/6), asserting that if t is 15 and d is 7, the Applicant has not provided any proof of this assertion, if correct, and VB = 400V, t = 15 u, and d = 7 u, The expresent with VB = 400V	semicondcutor device that satisfy device would only operate as a 40 has not been given any weight. M	the relationship t<2.5 00 Volt device or less loreover, assuming A	3 x 10(EXP -6) x . Since pplicant is	
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)		
13. Other:		(10x		
•		CONTRACTOR		

EDDIE LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Part of Paper No. 20050308

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 21, 24 and 25 raise new issues that will require additional search and consideration.